

Blockchain: The Key Success of Healthcare Development

Tarosh Wangwongwiroj^{1*}, Thanan Makarabhirom², Jidapha Jaewjira²

¹Shrewsbury International School Bangkok, Thailand

²Harrow International School Bangkok, Thailand

*Corresponding author (tarosh.prin@gmail.com)

Received: 14 Mar 2021;

Received in revised form:

29 Apr 2021;

Accepted: 14 May 2021;

Available online: 30 May 2021

©2021 The Author(s). Published by AI
Publication. This is an open access article
under the CC BY license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords— *Blockchain, Healthcare
management, Medical records,
Decentralisation.*

Abstract— Blockchain is a technology for storing and managing sensitive data such as financial information, health records, and transactions. It is a decentralised, distributed public ledger that is maintained by the network of a verified group of individuals or nodes. All nodes need to reach an agreement on whether the data should be kept in the block to guarantee that the data are safe and no corrupt branches. Healthcare is one of the potential fields where blockchain technology can be implemented, especially with the respect to health management. This brings an advantage to healthcare firms because currently patients' data are stored by third parties. This technology allows more security in the data management process and prevents the misuse of data. One of the greatest benefits of using blockchain in healthcare management is that records are stored in a distributed database due to the feature of decentralisation. Data in this system is always kept up to date and records are kept by timestamp. Interestingly, blockchain can be integrated with other advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) to generate predictive analytics models for patients' treatment and diagnosis

I. INTRODUCTION

Healthcare has been experiencing privacy and security breaches of healthcare's data every year from centralised networks. Also, communication within healthcare has caused many issues in time management, the effectiveness of healthcare and slow development of future goals, etc. Therefore, the increase in technology implemented in healthcare has led to the acknowledgment of concerns about ownership, interoperability, secured storage, and communication (Talesh, 2017; McCoy & Perlis, 2018; Meinert et al., 2019).

Blockchain technology is one of the advanced technologies that brings a solution to healthcare. Blockchain emerged from digital currencies called cryptocurrencies (Swan, 2015; Agbo et al., 2019) which can be viewed as a technology for storing and managing decentralised data maintained by the network of a verified group of individuals or nodes (Jaoude&Saade, 2019).

II. BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY

Blockchain is a technology for storing and managing sensitive data such as financial information, health records, and transactions. It is a decentralised, distributed public account that is organised by the network of a verified group of individuals or nodes (Jaoude & Saade, 2019). All nodes need to reach an agreement on whether the data should be kept in the block to guarantee that the data are safe and no corrupt branches (Vukolić, 2015; Christidis and Devetsikiotis, 2016), this is called consensus. There are different Consensus Mechanisms (Mingxiao et al., 2017) such as Proof-of-Work (PoW) which is the most popular. PoW requires computational power from the miners to solve the cryptographic algorithm similar to finding hashes with specific patterns (Antonopoulos, 2014). On the contrary, there is another mechanism called Proof-of-Stake (PoS). PoS separates stake blocks equally to each miner depending on their wealth (Pilkington, 2016). This is the improved version of PoW that the data

will be more secure as if a fraud transaction is validated the miner will lose the money that they staked in the blockchain. Moreover, there is an alternative Consensus Mechanism for PoW and PoS called Proof-of-Space. This algorithm uses the free space in the disk and reduces the use of computational power. The blockchain stores the data in a block that is immutable (Hölbl et al., 2018), the data are protected and bound to each other in cryptographic principle (Mendling et al., 2018).

The blockchain network is grouped into several categories (Buterin, 2015; Zheng et al., 2016; Eris Industries, 2016; Christidis and Devetsikiotis, 2016; Kravchenko, 2016; Wood, 2016) according to the network's management and permissions as public, private or federated. It is permissionless in the public blockchain which everyone can be a user or a node miner and can do any operation. The public and federated blockchain are permissions that allow only a list of users that are granted access to the network operation.

Blockchain technology was originally used in digital currencies also known as cryptocurrencies then in the application of smart contracts of the financial domain (Swan, 2015; Agbo et al., 2019). Subsequently, the blockchain evolved and focused on the government, healthcare (Swan, 2015; Miao & Yang, 2018), and culture (Efanov & Roschin, 2018) which are the non-financial areas. Furthermore, this technology is also used in the incorporation of artificial intelligence (Angelis & da Silva, 2019).

III. KEY CHARACTERISTICS OF BLOCKCHAIN

First, there are various types of blockchain that are classified by their accessibility and management system. There are three main types of blockchain which comprise public blockchain, consortium blockchain, and private blockchain (Peters and Panayi, 2016). In the public blockchain, every individual has permission to be involved in the validation process. This means that the public has the permission to access the information of the block and it is also fully immutable as information cannot be altered (Zheng et al. 2017). For the consortium blockchain, this type of blockchain refers to partial permission as only a selected group of people will be able to get involved in the consensus process as the permission to access is restricted. Unlike the public blockchain, this enables information inside the block to be manipulated (Lin and Liao, 2017). Likewise, the validation process in the private blockchain belongs to an organisation or an individual. The permission to access is restricted to some individuals only and information inside the block can be altered (Gervais et

al., 2016). These properties are essential depending on the implication for certain scenarios (Lin and Liao, 2017).

Secondly, there are various characteristics of blockchain. One of the key features of blockchain is decentralisation. In other words, all data are controlled by a distributed network rather than individuals like the centralised system (Zheng et al., 2017). For instance, in the conventional system, every transaction made by each individual must pass through a banking agency which is considered as a third party. However, if blockchain is introduced into this scenario, third parties will be eliminated from this process as blockchain are decentralised. To put it simply, decentralised systems provide access to resources in a more equitable service (Hölbl et al., 2018). To a certain extent, every user has their own private key in order to make each transaction, so the information inside the network is kept confidential (Johnson, 2001). Furthermore, blockchain can also be centralised. This applies with the private blockchain where consensus belongs to one organisation or an individual; as a result, permission is needed for this type of blockchain (Zheng et al., 2017). Currently, there is a large amount of research focusing on the development of blockchain with respect to its decentralised characteristics (Conoscenti et al., 2016; Christidis and Devetsikiotis, 2016), particularly in the field of managing big data and data-intensive applications (Yang et al., 2019).

Moreover, another important characteristic of blockchain is immutability. This is also known as transparency or persistency. Before information can be stored inside the block, it must undergo a validation process once information is stored (Zheng et al., 2017). The information cannot be changed or altered (Yli-Huumo et al., 2016; Swan, 2015a). For example, transactions can be validated and stored in a block. Due to the unchangeable feature of blockchain, it is impossible to delete or change the transaction details inside the block. As a result, errors or corrupted transactions can be detected easily (Zheng et al., 2017). This leads to the validation mechanism which is known as the consensus mechanism. These algorithms help to preserve and maintain information using cryptographic signatures (Mendling et al., 2018). This mechanism also enables data records to be safely exchanged or updated by the individual in charge of this process (Alla et al., 2018). Currently, there are many new methods being developed and applied to blockchain in order to make the validation process as efficient as possible (Nguyen and Kim, 2018). Furthermore, cryptographic hashing also ensures that information cannot be altered as every block is connected in chronological order. If one block is changed or altered, every subsequent hash value of the chain will also be affected. Hence, the

whole complete chain will be disabled (Nakamoto, 2008; Gervais et al., 2016).

Lastly, every process that occurs in the blockchain gets chronologically timestamped, including generating new blocks and storing data (Nakamoto, 2008; Matilla, 2016). This feature leads to the traceability of the data inside the block that are permanently recorded. In the case of a transaction, full details and history of the transaction can be provided to the decentralised network (Zheng et al., 2018). These features can also be combined with cryptographic hashing in a process called "Proof of Existence." The information inside the block can be proved by using this method as it provides the information at a specific time (Gipp et al., 2015).

IV. APPLICATION OF BLOCKCHAIN IN HEALTHCARE

Healthcare is one of the potential fields where blockchain technology can be implemented (Cios et al., 2019; Kuo et al., 2017), especially with the respect to health management (Mettler, 2016). Although there are issues with data ownership and exchange processes in past research (Ji et al., 2018). However, this problem can be overcome by the development of this technology which enables patients to own their data and to be able to address who they desire to share the data with (Dimitrov, 2019). This brings an advantage to healthcare firms because currently patients' data are stored by third parties (Hölbl et al., 2018). This technology allows more security in the data management process and prevents the misuse of data (Ito et al., 2018; Alla et al., 2018).

One of the implications of blockchain technology in the healthcare industry is the subject of healthcare management, especially in Electronic Health Records (EHRs), which has the highest capacity for improvement (Angraal, 2017; Hoy, 2017). Overall, the percentage usage of EHRs is approximately 97% worldwide. The information inside EHRs includes medical records, treatments, and clinical progress of patients. Blockchain technology improves EHRs by maintaining both security and privacy in patients' health data (Azaria et al., 2016; Sullivan, 2017; Medicalchain, 2017). One of the greatest benefits of using blockchain in EHRs is that records are stored in a distributed database due to the feature of decentralisation. Data in this system is always kept up to date and records are kept timestamped (BurstIQ, 2017). However, one of the issues that need to be taken into consideration before implementing this technology is the interoperability barrier. This technology does not allow data to be shared with other systems. In addition, other problems associated with this technology are financial

issues, user-related issues, and design flaws (Menachemi et al., 2011).

Furthermore, developing an intelligent healthcare system via blockchain is another aspect to which researchers are currently paying attention. Blockchain is an interesting prospect technology that helps the improvement of e-health (Casado-Vara and Corchado, 2019). Not only does blockchain improve e-health systems, but it also improves telemedical information. This is tremendously beneficial for the provision of healthcare in the future to reach out in a remote area (Hyla and Pejas, 2019; Ji et al., 2018). For instance, the records made by doctors during telemedical appointments could be recorded in the blockchain network to prevent the loss of information and mishandling of data. However, there are problems with this application of blockchain as the validation process might take a long time in order for data to be stored, and lack of research in these areas of application (Hyla and Pejas, 2019).

Interestingly, blockchain can be integrated with other advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) to generate predictive analytics models for patients' treatment and diagnosis (Mamoshina et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). For example, blockchain could be used to gather valid and secure data from clinical laboratories, hospitals, and other sources. The AI system then analyses the data from the blockchain and generates a predictive model which promotes the development of new drugs and treatment (Mamoshina et al., 2018). In addition, future research could focus more on this integration topic as there are a number of areas in healthcare where this technology could be applied such as drug prescription management (Hölbl et al., 2018) and digital rights management (Jaoude and Saade, 2019).

V. APPLICATION OF BLOCKCHAIN IN OTHER FIELDS

Due to the immutability of the blockchain, the technology of blockchain is expected to increase in transparency and become more which allowing a more elastic value chain in the supply chain network (Ahram et al., 2017; Kshetri, 2017; Kshetri, 2018; O'Leary, 2017). The blockchain has been accepted in supply chain management (SCM) and logistics (Kshetri, 2018). In addition, the blockchain can be used in the logistics by detecting the knock-off product, tracking the product (Hackius and Petersen, 2017; Kennedy et al., 2017; Lee and Pilkington, 2017; Toyoda et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2018), reduce paper load as well as enabling a direct transaction between the buyer and seller (Subramanian, 2017).

Consequently, it has been shown that the supply chain that using the application of blockchain have improved security

(Dorri et al., 2017a), lead to more comprehensive contract management for combating asymmetry (Polim et al., 2017), enhances tracking mechanisms, and traceability assurance (Apte and Petrovsky, 2016; Tian et al., 2016; Düdler and Ross, 2017; Heber and Groll, 2017; Lu and Xu, 2017; Tian, 2017), giving more reliable information for better information management (Infosys Limited, 2017; O’Leary et al., 2017; Turk and Klinc, 2017), food safety (Ahmed and Broek, 2017) and giving better customer services (Frey et al., 2016a; Frey et al., 2016b). Lastly, it has the potential to enhance smart transportation networks (Yuan and Wang, 2016; Lei et al., 2017; Leiding et al., 2016) and include modern decentralised manufacturing architectures (SyncFab, 2018). In addition, Tradelens is an example of a blockchain-based logistic company (Tradelens, 2018). Tradelens uses blockchain which enables unprecedented transparency, collaboration, and efficiency in global supply chains by providing innovative apps to every stakeholder in the supply chain for controlling and managing data.

Through strengthening, optimizing, and automating enterprise processes, blockchain has the ability to become a major source of innovative developments in business and management (Tapscott and Tapscott, 2017; Bogner et al., 2016; Ying et al., 2018). As the popularity of the blockchain increases in various industries, the European Commission released a report in April 2016 that blockchain will take over the current business model. Based on the Blockchain technology, it was estimated that smart contracts could reduce infrastructure costs by 4.6 billion euros per year by 2022 (Probst et al., 2016).

Moreover, blockchain has the significant potential for product improvement and commercialisation (White, 2017; Klems et al., 2017; Kogure et al., 2017), also improving the trustworthiness in e-commerce. Last but not least, the use of blockchain in the business and industry has improved the progress of the work by better organisation, saving more time and lower cost of the process (Weber et al., 2016; López-Pintado et al., 2017; Prybila, 2017; Rimba et al., 2017; Mendling et al., 2018). For instance, Propertyclub is a real estate company in New York which uses blockchain to improve the way people market and buy and sell properties as well as using a smart contract to conduct transactions digitally using cryptocurrencies (Propertyclub, 2018)

VI. DISCUSSION

As reviewed in this study, there are many benefits of using blockchain technology in healthcare, especially from the perspective of health management where there are lots of potential for growth in development (Mettler, 2016).

Blockchain enhances a secure and transparent environment for the network of patients’ data for all hospitals when information is stored in the system. In the traditional method, there are challenges in this data management process as the data might be inaccurate because health records could be altered. This might lead to a reduction in the quality of service and care provided. As a result, our innovation ensures that patients’ data and records are safely secured via Hash codes (see Methodology). All records are stored in a form of a block that is irreversible which prevents the risk of fraudulent purpose to patients’ medical records. This is an essential benefit as patients’ data are currently stored by third parties (Hölbl et al., 2018).

Furthermore, blockchain also enables patients to own their data and to be able to choose recipients with whom they desire to share the data (Dimitrov, 2019). It provides the ability to use the public address and private key to overcome the problem of privacy and confidentiality in patients’ records as well as the issue of data ownership and exchange processes in past research (Ji et al., 2018). Not everyone in the decentralised network can access each individual’s block, but only people with permission can access the system. This system architecture also prevents the misuse of patients’ data.

Moreover, blockchain can aid the interoperability problem due to the characteristics of decentralised networks. One of the major problems in healthcare is the exchange of health data and records across healthcare organisations (Iroju et al., 2013). When patients want to change their health insurance plan or their hospital, new health records must be set up. Oftentimes, these records might be inaccurate and unreliable because this process relies solely on the patients themselves (Baron et al., 2005). This might lead to a reduction in the quality of care given by healthcare providers. Our decentralised blockchain network enables data to be efficiently and securely exchanged. This will solve the problem proposed in the past research regarding the lack of understanding in the interoperability framework (Dagher et al., 2018). In addition, our system contains timestamps; as a result, all of the patients’ medical records and their appointment history at any particular time can be accessed electronically and viewed quickly and immediately. Overall, this will strengthen the validity of electronic health records (EHRs) among various medical institutions.

Despite all the advanced features of the blockchain, there are still various hindrances and challenges in the usage of blockchain. Many countries are considering the adoption of blockchain in government settings (Ølnes et al., 2017; Hou, 2017). However blockchain is a new, advanced,

complex, and still an immature technology, there are no existing standards or regulations to operate it which can slow down the development of the blockchain-based application (Ølnes et al., 2017). No standardisation of blockchain in the application of healthcare means that the applications that are developed by different vendors on different platforms may make it difficult to cooperate and exchange information.

Furthermore, decentralised storage is one of the core characteristics of blockchain which allows the users to share the data among different services, but this can lead to data leakage. When the user wants to retrieve data from the blockchain, they need to verify their identity by entering the private key or password to decipher the hashed or the cryptographic text into normal text. The cryptographic data are shared by all the individuals who participate and are stored publicly (Zhao et al., 2017). If all the participants team up and use their computational power they can solve the hash and cause data leakage.

Additionally, one of the key problems related to the blockchain is scalability. Due to the advancement of the data analytic tools in medical care and medical imaging, real-time availability of the data, insurance companies, and more, the data are increasing in volume. This rapidly increasing data volume leads to the risk of bulking the overall system, and immense stress on the limited hardware storage, and hence the response time from the system will increase (Gervais et al., 2018).

Moreover, the assumptions made are one of the main constraints in this study. The use of blockchain in healthcare assumes that the patients will always use the smartphone effectively to collect and store their medical data. This is because the devices are not able to verify that the data was submitted by the actual patient or in other words, confirm that the data was submitted by the actual patient (Roehrs et al., 2017).

More importantly, there is another limitation that goes beyond the technical boundaries such as energy consumption, blockchain developers, and cost. Firstly, the validation procedure of blockchain, blockchain is a complex algorithm, which means that several computers are required and need to operate simultaneously. This leads to an increase in the consumption of energy. As a result, this may contribute to the scarcity of the energy supply and cause global environmental issues. Next, initially, the number of people trained in blockchain technology is low but the demand for blockchain is increasing. This is due to the lack of understanding and the availability of resources and technology. Finally, the cost is the primary constraint of the blockchain. There are many costs that hinder development such as protocol cost and the execution cost

based on variable inputs of string length and size (Al Omar et al., 2019).

VII. CONCLUSION

The study above aims to advance existing trends of blockchain into healthcare. To provide an alternative route for all hospitals, all healthcare departments, all healthcare professions, and all patients to combine as one family network. The findings are merged to synthesise a transparent network that provides reliable security and privacy of data, efficient eco-storage, and approachability by components in blocks such as consensus algorithm of hash values ('Proof-of-space') and decentralisation.

REFERENCES

- [1] AbouJaoude, J., &Saade, R. G. (2019). Blockchain applications–usage in different domains. *IEEE Access*, 7, 45360-45381.
- [2] Agbo, C.C., Mahmoud, Q.H., Eklund, J.M., 2019. Blockchain technology in healthcare: a systematic review. *Healthcare*, 7(2), 56.
- [3] Ahram, T., Sargolzaei, A., Sargolzaei, S., Daniels, J., Amaba, B., 2017. Blockchain technology innovations. In: 2017 IEEE Technology and Engineering Management Society Conference, TEMSCON 2017pp. 137–141.
- [4] Ahmed, S., Broek, N.T., 2017. Food supply: blockchain could boost food security. *Nature* 550 (7674), 43.
- [5] Angraal, S., Krumholz, H. M., & Schulz, W. L. (2017). Blockchain technology: applications in health care. *Circulation: Cardiovascular quality and outcomes*, 10(9), e003800.
- [6] Alla, S., Soltanisehat, L., Tatar, U., Keskin, O., 2018. Blockchain technology in electronic healthcare systems. *IISE Annual Conf. Expo 2018* (1), 754–759.
- [7] Al Omar, A., Bhuiyan, M.Z.A., Basu, A., Kiyomoto, S., Rahman, M.S., 2019. Privacy-friendly platform for healthcare data in cloud based on blockchain environment. *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.* 95, 511–521.
- [8] Antonopoulos, A.M., 2014. *Mastering Bitcoin: Unlocking Digital Cryptocurrencies*. O'Reilly Media Inc.
- [9] Apte, S., Petrovsky, N., 2016. Will blockchain technology revolutionize excipient supply chain management? *J. Excipients Food Chem.* 7 (3), 76–78.
- [10] Ascribe, 2014. <https://www.ascribe.io/>.
- [11] Azaria, A., Ekblaw, A., Vieira, T., & Lippman, A. (2016, August). Medrec: Using blockchain for medical data access and permission management. In 2016 2nd International Conference on Open and Big Data (OBD) (pp. 25-30). IEEE
- [12] Baron, R. J., Fabens, E. L., Schiffman, M., & Wolf, E. (2005). Electronic health records: just around the corner? Or over the cliff?.
- [13] Bdiwi, R., De Runz, C., Faiz, S., Cherif, A.A., Towards a New Ubiquitous Learning Environment Based on Blockchain Technology. In: Proceedings – IEEE 17th

- International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies, ICALT 2017, pp. 101–102.
- [14] Bogner, A., Chanson, M., Meeuw, A., 2016. A decentralised sharing app running a smart contract on the ethereum blockchain. In: ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, pp. 177–178.
- [15] Bore, N., Karumba, S., Mutahi, J., Darnell, S.S., Wayua, C., Weldemariam, K., 2017. Towards Blockchain-enabled School Information Hub. In: Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development 19. ACM.
- [16] Casado-Vara, R., Chamoso, P., De la Prieta, F., Prieto, J., & Corchado, J. M. (2019). Non-linear adaptive closed-loop control system for improved efficiency in IoT-blockchain management. *Information Fusion*, 49, 227-239.
- [17] Conoscenti, M., Vetro, A., & De Martin, J. C. (2016, November). Blockchain for the Internet of Things: A systematic literature review. In 2016 IEEE/ACS 13th International Conference of Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [18] Christidis, K., & Devetsikiotis, M. (2016). Blockchains and smart contracts for the internet of things. *Ieee Access*, 4, 2292-2303
- [19] Cios, K.J., Krawczyk, B., Cios, J., Staley, K.J., 2019. Uniqueness of medical data mining. In: How the New Technologies and Data They Generate Are Transforming Medicine, arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.09203.
- [20] Dagher, G. G., Mohler, J., Milojkovic, M., & Marella, P. B. (2018). Ancile: Privacy-preserving framework for access control and interoperability of electronic health records using blockchain technology. *Sustainable cities and society*, 39, 283-297.
- [21] Devine, P., 2015. Blockchain learning: can crypto-currency methods be appropriated to enhance online learning? ALT Online Winter Conference.
- [22] Dimitrov, D. V. (2019). Blockchain applications for healthcare data management. *Healthcare informatics research*, 25(1), 51.
- [23] Efanov, D., Roschin, P., 2018. The all-pervasiveness of the blockchain technology. *Procedia Comput. Sci.* 123, 116–121.
- [24] Engelhardt, M. A. (2017). Hitching healthcare to the chain: An introduction to blockchain technology in the healthcare sector. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 7(10).
- [25] Eris Industries, 2016. Explainer — Smart Contracts, https://docs.erisindustries.com/explainers/smart_contracts/.
- [26] Frey, R.M., Wörner, D., Ilic, A., 2016b. Collaborative filtering on the blockchain: a secure recommender system for E-commerce. In: AMCIS 2016: Surfing the IT Innovation Wave – 22nd Americas Conference on Information Systems.
- [27] Gervais, A., Karame, G. O., Wüst, K., Glykantzis, V., Ritzdorf, H., & Capkun, S. (2016, October). On the security and performance of proof of work blockchains. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security (pp. 3-16).
- [28] Gipp, B., Meuschke, N., & Gernandt, A. (2015). Decentralized trusted timestamping using the crypto currency bitcoin. arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.04015.
- [29] Grech, A., Camilleri, A.F., et al., 2017. Blockchain in Education. Tech. Rep.. Joint Research Centre (Seville site).
- [30] Hackius, N., Petersen, M., 2017. Blockchain in logistics and supply chain: trick or treat? In: Proceedings of the Hamburg International Conference of Logistics (HICL), epubli 3–18.
- [31] Heber, D., Groll, M., 2017. Towards a digital twin: how the blockchain can foster E/E-traceability in consideration of model-based systems engineering. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Engineering Design, ICED, vol. 3. pp. 321–330.
- [32] Hou, H., 2017. The application of blockchain technology in E-government in China. In: Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN), 2017 26th International Conference on. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCN.2017.8038519>. IEEE.
- [33] Hoy, M. B. (2017). An introduction to the blockchain and its implications for libraries and medicine. *Medical reference services quarterly*, 36(3), 273-279.
- [34] Hyla, T., & Pejaš, J. (2019). eHealth integrity model based on permissioned blockchain. *Future Internet*, 11(3), 76.
- [35] Ito, K., Tago, K., & Jin, Q. (2018, October). i-Blockchain: a blockchain-empowered individual-centric framework for privacy-preserved use of personal health data. In 2018 9th International Conference on Information Technology in Medicine and Education (ITME) (pp. 829-833). IEEE.
- [36] Iroju, O., Soriyan, A., Gambo, I., & Olaleke, J. (2013). Interoperability in healthcare: benefits, challenges and resolutions. *International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies*, 3(1), 262-270.
- [37] Kuo, T. T., Kim, H. E., & Ohno-Machado, L. (2017). Blockchain distributed ledger technologies for biomedical and health care applications. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 24(6), 1211-1220.
- [38] Li, X., Huang, X., Li, C., Yu, R., & Shu, L. (2019). EdgeCare: leveraging edge computing for collaborative data management in mobile healthcare systems. *IEEE Access*, 7, 22011-22025.
- [39] Lei, A., Cruickshank, H., Cao, Y., Asuquo, P., Ogah, C.P.A., Sun, Z., 2017. Blockchain-based dynamic key management for heterogeneous intelligent transportation systems. *IEEE Internet Things J.* 4 (6), 1832–1843.
- [40] Lee, J.H., Pilkington, M., 2017. How the blockchain revolution will reshape the consumer electronics industry [future directions]. *IEEE Consumer Electr. Mag.* 6 (3), 19–23.
- [41] López-Pintado, O., García-Bañuelos, L., Dumas, M., Weber, I., 2017. Caterpillar: a blockchain-based business process management system. In: CEUR Workshop Proc., vol. 1920.
- [42] Lu, Q., Xu, X., 2017. Adaptable blockchain-based systems: a case study for product traceability. *IEEE Softw.* 34 (6), 21–27.
- [43] Mamoshina, P., Ojomoko, L., Yanovich, Y., Ostrovski, A., Botezatu, A., Prikhodko, P., ... & Zhavoronkov, A. (2018). Converging blockchain and next-generation artificial

- intelligence technologies to decentralize and accelerate biomedical research and healthcare. *Oncotarget*, 9(5), 5665.
- [44] Mattila, J. (2016). The blockchain phenomenon—the disruptive potential of distributed consensus architectures (No. 38). ETLA working papers.
- [45] Mendling, J., Weber, I., Aalst, W. V. D., Brocke, J. V., Cabanillas, C., Daniel, F., ... & Zhu, L. (2018). Blockchains for business process management—challenges and opportunities. *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems (TMIS)*, 9(1), 1-16.
- [46] Menachemi, N., & Collum, T. H. (2011). Benefits and drawbacks of electronic health record systems. *Risk management and healthcare policy*, 4, 47.
- [47] Mettler, M., 2016. Blockchain technology in healthcare: the revolution starts here. In: In 2016 IEEE 18th International Conference on E-Health Networking, Applications and Services (Healthcom), September, pp. 1–3.
- [48] Miao, S., Yang, J.M., 2018. Bibliometrics-based evaluation of the Blockchain research trend: 2008–march 2017. *Technol. Anal. Strateg. Manag.* 30 (9), 1029–1045.
- [49] Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. Manubot.
- [50] Nguyen, D.C., Pathirana, P.N., Ding, M., Seneviratne, A., 2019. Blockchain for secure EHRs sharing of mobile cloud based e-health systems. *IEEE Access* 7, 66792–66806.
- [51] O’Leary, D.E., 2017. Configuring blockchain architectures for transaction information in blockchain consortiums: the case of accounting and supply chain systems. *Intelligent Syst. Acc. Finance Manage.* 24 (4), 138–147.
- [52] Ølnes, S., Ubacht, J., Janssen, M., 2017. Blockchain in Government: Benefits and Implications of Distributed Ledger Technology for Information Sharing. Elsevier.
- [53] Peters, G. W., & Panayi, E. (2016). Understanding modern banking ledgers through blockchain technologies: Future of transaction processing and smart contracts on the internet of money. In *Banking beyond banks and money* (pp. 239–278). Springer, Cham.
- [54] Pilkington, M., 2016. Blockchain technology: principles and applications. *Res. Handbook Digital Transformations* 225.
- [55] Polim, R., Hu, Q., Kumara, S., 2017. Blockchain in megacity logistics. In: IIE Annual Conference. Proceedings, Institute of Industrial and Systems Engineers (IISE), pp. 1589–1594.
- [56] Probst, L., Frideres, L., Cambier, B., Martinez-Diaz, C.: PwC Luxembourg: Blockchain Applications and Services, Directorate-General for Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs, European Union (2016)
- [57] Propertyclub, 2018. <https://propertyclub.nyc/>
- [58] Prybila, C., Schulte, S., Hochreiner, C., Weber, I., 2017. Runtime verification for business processes utilizing the Bitcoin blockchain. *Future Generation Comput. Syst.*
- [59] Qin, K., Gervais, A.: An overview of blockchain scalability, interoperability and sustainability (2018)
- [60] Rimba, P., Tran, A.B., Weber, I., Staples, M., Ponomarev, A., Xu, X., 2017. Comparing blockchain and cloud services for business process execution. In: Proceedings – 2017 IEEE International Conference on Software Architecture, ICISA 2017, pp. 257–260.
- [61] Roehrs, A., da Costa, C.A., da Rosa Righi, R., 2017. OmniPHR: a distributed architecture model to integrate personal health records. *J. Biomed. Inform.* 71, 70–81.
- [62] Sharples, M., Domingue, J., 2016a. The blockchain and kudos: A distributed system for educational record, reputation and reward. In: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, vol. 9891 LNCS, pp. 490–496.
- [63] Sharples, M., Domingue, J., 2016b. *The Blockchain and Kudos: A Distributed System for Educational Record, Reputation and Reward*. Springer International Publishing, Cham pp. 490–496.
- [64] Spearpoint, M., 2017. A proposed currency system for academic peer review payments using the blockchain technology. *Publications* 5, 3. Stampery, 2015. <https://stampery.com/>.
- [65] Subramanian, H., 2017. Decentralized blockchain-based electronic marketplaces. *Commun. ACM* 61 (1), 78–84.
- [66] Sullivan, H., & Skelcher, C. (2017). *Working across boundaries: collaboration in public services*. Macmillan International Higher Education.
- [67] Swan, M., 2015. *Blockchain Blueprint for a New Economy*. O’Reilly Media Inc.
- [68] SyncFab, 2018. Decentralized Manufacturing. Creating the world’s first peer-to-peer manufacturing supply chain and incentivized token system adapted for public and private blockchains. https://blockchain.syncfab.com/SyncFab_MFG_WP.pdf.
- [69] Tan, A.W.K., Zhao, Y., Halliday, T., 2018. A blockchain model for less container load operations in China. *Int. J. Inf. Syst. Supply Chain Manage.* 11 (2), 39–53.
- [70] Tapscott, D., Tapscott, A., 2017. How blockchain will change organizations. *MIT Sloan Manage. Rev.* 58 (2), 10–13.
- [71] Tian, F., 2016. An agri-food supply chain traceability system for China based on RFID & blockchain technology. In: 2016 13th International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management (ICSSSM). IEEE, pp. 1–6.
- [72] Tian, F., 2017. A supply chain traceability system for food safety based on HACCP, blockchain & Internet of things. In: 2017 International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management (ICSSSM). IEEE, pp. 1–6.
- [73] Tradelens, 2018 <https://www.tradelens.com/>
- [74] Turk, Ž., Klinc, R., 2017. Potentials of blockchain technology for construction management. *Procedia Eng.* 196, 638–645.
- [75] Turkanović, M., Hölbl, M., Košič, K., Heričko, M., & Kamišalić, A. (2018). EduCTX: A blockchain-based higher education credit platform. *IEEE access*, 6, 5112–5127.
- [76] Vukolić, M., 2015. The quest for scalable blockchain fabric: proof-of-work vs. BFT replication. In: *International Workshop on Open Problems in Network Security*. Springer, pp. 112–125.

- [77] Weber, I., Xu, X., Riveret, R., Governatori, G., Ponomarev, A., Mendling, J., 2016. Untrusted business process monitoring and execution using blockchain. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), vol. 9850 LNCS, pp. 329–347.
- [78] White, G.R.T., 2017. Future applications of blockchain in business and management: a Delphi study. *Strategic Change* 26 (5), 439–451.
- [79] Xu, Y., Zhao, S., Kong, L., Zheng, Y., Zhang, S., Li, Q., 2017d. ECBC: a high performance educational certificate blockchain with efficient query. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), vol. 10580 LNCS, pp. 288–304.
- [80] Ying, W., Jia, S., Du, W., 2018. Digital enablement of blockchain: evidence from HNA group. *Int. J. Inf. Manage.* 39, 1–4.
- [81] Yuan, Y., Wang, F.Y., 2016. Towards blockchain-based intelligent transportation systems. In: IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems, Proceedings, ITSC, pp. 2663–2668.
- [82] Zhao, H., et al., 2017. Lightweight backup and efficient recovery scheme for health blockchain keys. In: Autonomous Decentralized System (ISADS), 2017 IEEE 13th International Symposium on. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISADS.2017.22>. IEEE.
- [83] Zheng, X., Sun, S., Mukkamala, R.R., Vatrappu, R., Ordieres-Meré, J., 2019. Accelerating health data sharing: a solution based on the internet of things and distributed ledger technologies. *J. med. Internet res.* 21 (6), e13583
- [84] Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H. N., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2018). Blockchain challenges and opportunities: A survey. *International Journal of Web and Grid Services*, 14(4), 352-375.